

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) /ZrO₂-doped ZnO nanocomposites as protective coatings for stone materials

Maduka L. Weththimuni¹, Marwa Ben Chobba², Ilenia Tredici³, Maurizio Licchelli^{1,3}

¹ *Dipartimento di Chimica, Università di Pavia, via T. Taramelli 12, I-27100, Pavia, Italy;*
madukalankani.weththimuni@unipv.it

² *National School of Engineering, University of Sfax, Box 1173, 3038 Sfax, Tunisia;*
marwabenchobba@gmail.com

³ *CISRIC, Università di Pavia, via A. Ferrata 3, I-27100, Pavia, Italy;*
ileniagiuseppina.tredici@unipv.it, maurizio.licchelli@unipv.it

Abstract – ZnO is widely used in different technological areas due to its beneficial qualities such as high chemical stability, non-toxicity, high photo-reactivity, and cheapness. Based on its antibacterial activity, recently it has found also application to prevent bio-deterioration of cultural heritage buildings. As many authors suggested, doped ZnO nano-structures exhibit better antibacterial properties than undoped analogues. In the present work, ZnO nanoparticles doped with ZrO₂ have been prepared by a sol-gel method in order to enhance the photocatalytic properties as well as the antibacterial activity of ZnO. Then, ZrO₂-ZnO-PDMS nanocomposite (PDMS, polydimethylsiloxane used as the binder) was synthesized by in-situ reaction. The resulting nanocomposite has been investigated as a possible protective material for cultural heritage building substrates. Therefore, it has been applied to three different stones (Lecce stone, Carrara Marble and Brick) in order to evaluate the protective properties of the resulting coating. Plain PDMS was considered as a reference coating.

I. INTRODUCTION

Our historical heritages provide the most important and essential availability for the culture of all countries and represent the symbol of history. Therefore, protection and conservation of this patrimony are essential tasks for the scientific community. The cultural heritage buildings undergo different damages, particularly because they are exposed outdoors, and are subject to a number of phenomena such as air pollution, water absorption, salt crystallization, photodegradation, and micro-organisms colonization which cause transformation and deterioration of surfaces [1-2].

Several methodologies have been developed to clean old materials belonging to heritage sites as a first step of

the conservation process. Cleaning procedures may include the use of solvents, chelating agents, and even of acidic or basic agents [3]. Nevertheless, the irreversibility of these methods, the risk of altering the artwork, as well as the toxicity of certain products makes these cleaning procedures scarcely suitable for the application for historic buildings [3]. The bio-cleaning method has been suggested and studied as an alternative technique by using selected microorganisms [4]. Despite the efficiency of this method under the laboratory condition, the need of a specified condition to ensure the viability of these microorganisms make its practical application very difficult and subject to further studies [3]. Laser method has been considered as a friendly technique for cleaning heritage structure as well as for environment [5]. However, the high cost of this method is still the barrier against its widespread use. A variety of products (biopolymers, ionic liquids, gels, microemulsions, etc.) have been also proposed and used in this field, although their application still has some drawbacks such as high cost of maintenance and toxicological risks [6-7].

The conservation of monumental cultural heritage with innovative nanocomposites is in the vanguard of conservation science and a plethora of research activities are dedicated to the design and validation of compatible nanomaterials that may exhibit strengthening, hydrophobic and self-cleaning properties [8-10]. Moreover, scientists have focused their research to find out self-cleaning protective materials in order to reduce maintenance costs. In particular, titanium dioxide (TiO₂) and zinc oxide (ZnO) nanoparticles (NPs) have been studied and tested for self-cleaning applications [11-12]. The interest in developing and using these nanosized materials in combination with different binders has increased due to their excellent self-cleaning and antibacterial properties in addition to their easy, non-toxic and non-expensive procedures of application. However, their technological application has some important

limitations among which the easy recombination of charge carriers and the need of ultraviolet (UV) radiation as excitation source. The last one that is considered as the most restrictive drawback, is due to the broadband-gap (ZnO: 3.2 eV; TiO₂: 3.3 eV for anatase) of the two oxides [13]. Therefore, many research groups have focused their efforts on enhancing the photocatalytic as well as the antimicrobial activity of pure NPs by doping with different ions (transition, non-transition, and non-metal ions) [1, 14]. The process of doping can alter the surface reactivity, functionality and charge of the NPs, with possible improvement of their properties such as durability, stability, and dispersive ability of core material [14]. Some authors also reported that the doped nanostructures exhibit better antibacterial properties than undoped analogues [14]. Zirconium dioxide or Zirconia (ZrO₂) is a wide band gap P-type semiconductor which is used in many fields due to excellent properties (good natural color, high strength, high toughness, high chemical stability, and chemical and microbial resistance) [15].

The main objective of this work was the preparation of ZnO nanoparticles doped with ZrO₂ by a sol-gel method in order to enhance the photocatalytic properties as well as the antibacterial activity of naked ZnO. Moreover, doped NPs were combined with a binder (polydimethylsiloxane, PDMS) to obtain a nanocomposite, which was tested as a protective material for the Cultural Heritage.

At first, the synthesized core-shell (ZrO₂-ZnO) NPs were characterized by SEM-EDS. After that, the performances of the nanocomposite coating (ZrO₂-ZnO-PDMS) as a protective material for the stone specimens were evaluated when applied on three different stone types: Lecce stone (LS), Brick (B) and Carrara Marble (M). Moreover, PDMS-treated stone specimens were used as the reference for each and every analyses. The evaluation of coatings was done by different techniques: contact angles and chromatic variation measurements, capillary absorption and water vapor permeability determinations, optical microscopy (visible and UV light), SEM-EDS, self-cleaning and antibacterial tests.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Materials

Analytical grade sodium hydroxide (NaOH), ethanol (absolute, 99.8% EtOH), zinc acetate dihydrate (ZnC₄H₆O₄·2H₂O), 2-propanol, zirconium oxychloride octahydrate, orthophosphoric acid, hexamethyldisiloxane, and octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D4, utilized as PDMS precursor) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Cesium hydroxide (CsOH·H₂O) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. All the chemicals used without further purification. Water was purified using a Millipore Organex system ($R \geq 18$ M_{cm}). Lecce stone specimens were provided by Tarantino and Lotriglia (Nardò, Lecce, Italy), while

specimens of Brick and Carrara Marble were provided by Favret Mosaici S.a.s. (Pietrasanta, Lucca, Italy).

B. Preparation, application and testing methods of the nanocomposite

Before treatment, Lecce stone (LS), Brick (B), and Marble (M) (squared $5 \times 5 \times 1$ and $5 \times 5 \times 2$ cm³) specimens were smoothed with abrasive, carbide paper (No: 180 mesh), washed with deionized water, dried in an oven at 60 °C and stored in a desiccator to reach room temperature, then their dry weight was measured.

First of all, ZrO₂-ZnO core-shell NPs (molar ratio about 0.01:1 ZrO₂/ZnO) were synthesized by literature sol-gel method [14-15] and then, ZrO₂-ZnO-PDMS nanocomposite was synthesized by in-situ reaction. For this purpose, doped Nps (0.5% (w/w)) were introduced to the reaction mixture containing octamethylcyclotetrasiloxane (D4, 25 g) and CsOH (0.15 g), used as a catalyst for the ring opening polymerization of D4. After ultrasonication (20 minutes), the reaction was carried out at 120 ± 3 °C under vigorous stirring for 2.5 hours in a oil bath and then, hexamethyldisiloxane (0.03 g) was added and the reaction was continued at the same temperature another 2.5 hours as recommended in the literature [14].

All the samples (LS, B, and M) were saturated with ethanol by keeping specimens at least 6 hours in absolute EtOH in order to prevent the penetration of coating inside the pores and ensure that it remains on the surface of the stone [1]. After saturation, all specimens were treated with ZrO₂-ZnO-PDMS nanocomposite as well as with plain PDMS (as the reference) by brushing method (applied amount, 1.0 ± 0.02 g). Specimen treated with the nanocomposite were named Zn-Zr-PDMS_LS, Zn-Zr-PDMS_B, and Zn-Zr-PDMS_M, while reference specimens were labeled PDMS_LS, PDMS_B, and PDMS_M.

Optical microscopy observations of the treated specimens were done using a light polarized microscope Olympus BX51TF, equipped with the Olympus TH4-200 lamp (visible light) and the Olympus U-RFL-T (UV light). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images (backscattered electron) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectra (EDS) were collected by using a Tescan FE-SEM, MIRA XMU series, equipped with a Schottky field emission source, operating in both low and high vacuum, and located at the Arvedi Laboratory, CISRiC, University of Pavia. The amount of absorbed water as a function of time was determined in accordance with the UNI EN 15801 protocol [16]. Water vapor permeability was determined according to UNI EN 15803:2010 protocol [17]. Color changes were measured by a Konica Minolta CM-2600D spectrophotometer, determining the L*, a*, and b* coordinates of the CIELAB space, and the global chromatic variations, expressed as ΔE^* according to the UNI EN 15886 protocol [18]. Self Cleaning efficiency of

prepared coatings was performed by using MULTIRAYS, photochemical reactor, composed of UV chamber equipped with 8 UV lamps. The power of each lamp is 15W with a total power of 120 W. The reactor is equipped with a rotating disc in order to ensure a homogenized irradiation on all stained samples. The decoloration of methylene blue (MB) dye (0.1 %wt in ethanol solution), applied on the surface of treated stones specimens and their untreated counterparts, was controlled by measuring chromatic variations (five control points for each sample surface) before and after application of MB dye, after 48 and 96h of UV exposure. Discoloration parameter (D^* %) was determined as follows [11]:

$$D^* = \frac{|b^*(t) - b^*(MB)|}{|b^*(MB) - b^*(0)|} * 100$$

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Characterization of doped NP's and treated stones specimens

SEM-EDS analyses showed that ZrO_2 -doped ZnO NPs are homogeneously dispersed in the PDMS binder. Most of them are spherical with a size in the 15-30 nm range (see Fig. 1a-b).




Fig. 1. SEM images of doped NP's.

As can be seen from the Figure 2, the optical microscopy observations suggested that nanocomposite material (ZrO_2 -ZnO-PDMS) is homogeneously distributed on the treated stone surfaces (LS, B, and M). Moreover, it seems that the coating covered pores on the surface and acting

as a protective layer to the stone. This observation was confirmed even by SEM analysis. So, this behaviour of the prepared coating is one of the important properties as expected for an effective protecting coating.




Fig. 2. Optical microscope images of treated stones: (a) Zn-Zr-PDMS_LS, (b) Zn-Zr-PDMS_B, and (c) Zn-Zr-PDMS_M

Quite acceptable chromatic variations ($\Delta E^* < 5$) were observed (see Table 1) after application of ZrO_2 -ZnO-PDMS on any considered substrate, suggesting that the natural color of the stones is not dramatically affected by the treatment. The corresponding chromatic coordinates are graphically resumed in Fig. 3. Coordinate L^* (related to the lightness) is considerably affected by all the treatments, regardless of the considered treatment. Variations of b^* (related to the blue to yellow change) are more relevant on Lecce stone and Brick specimens treated with PDMS or nanocomposite material. Hydrophobic properties of the treated stones are also summarized in Table 1. Results indicate that all the stone surfaces show hydrophobic behavior (contact angle measurements $\alpha > 90^\circ$) following the treatments.

Table 1. Overall chromatic variations and contact angle measurements of treated stones

Samples	ΔE^*	α ($^\circ$)
PDMS_LS	4.4 (± 0.2)	111 (± 1)
Zn-Zr-PDMS_LS	3.9 (± 0.1)	131 (± 2)
PDMS_B	4.4 (± 0.5)	128 (± 1)
Zn-Zr-PDMS_B	4.8 (± 0.2)	136 (± 2)
PDMS_M	4.9 (± 0.1)	98 (± 2)
Zn-Zr-PDMS_M	4.8 (± 0.1)	107 (± 3)




Fig. 3. Chromatic coordinates of treated stones.

Moreover, preliminary data concerning capillary absorption tests confirmed that treated stones exhibited water repellent behavior. In addition, vapour permeability was preserved at acceptable levels after applying the protective material. Hence, all these results suggest that the newly prepared coating can be considered as a promising protective material.

B. Analyzing the self-cleaning properties

As reported in the introduction, evaluation of the self cleaning effectiveness of the newly prepared coating is one of the main aspect of this research study.




Fig. 4. Images of LS before (with MB) and after the self cleaning test

Figure 4 shows (as an example) the behavior of Lecce

stone before and after the test. The different behavior of stone treated with the nanocomposite and with plain PDMS can be observed even by naked eye.

In order to evaluate the self-cleaning effect of the coatings, discoloration test was performed on the treated stones (see Fig. 5). After application of MB solution on the surface of the specimens, they were exposed to UV irradiation and the discoloration parameter (D^* %) was calculated (at two different time intervals). It is related to the variation of b^* coordinate (CIELAB space) and corresponds to the amount of MB removed from the coated surfaces.




Fig. 5. The discoloration percentage (D^* (%)) after UV exposure.

This test provided quite similar behavior for LS and B: the coating containing doped NPs showed a higher effectiveness than the plain PDMS coating. For instance, the discoloration factor related to the new coating is about double compared to PDMS (at the end of the test: $D^*_{PDMS} \sim 20\%$, $D^*_{nanoc} \sim 40\%$ both for LS and B). The new coating showed even better results when applied on Marble surface, as the discoloration factor was around 70% after 96 hours of UV irradiation. Nevertheless, it should be noted that even plain PDMS display better performances on marble specimens if compared to the other considered stones. Although it is difficult to reliably compare the effectiveness of a treatment on different substrates, due to the different original stone properties (e. g. absorbability of the products, porosity, etc.) that may affect the final performance, the experimental data discussed above indicate that the nanocomposite coating (ZrO_2 -ZnO-PDMS) provides better results in terms of self cleaning effect when compared to plain PDMS.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In order to enhance the photocatalytic properties of ZnO, ZrO_2 -doped ZnO NPs were synthesized in the laboratory. After that, the nanocomposite ZrO_2 -ZnO-

PDMS was synthesized, applied on different stone substrates (LS, B, and M), and its protecting behavior was compared to the well known PDMS.

The morphological analysis suggested that prepared doped NPs have a spherical shape and they are very small particles (15-30 nm in size). This small size of the particles is effectively involved in providing great performances of the prepared coating. For instance, the results of contact angles, chromatic variations, capillary absorption and water vapor permeability measurements indicate satisfactory protecting behaviour of the resulting coating.

Moreover, surface analyses suggested that nanoparticles included into the binder matrix are homogeneously distributed on all the stone surfaces. Furthermore, the new coating (ZrO₂-ZnO-PDMS) showed better results when compared to PDMS in terms of self cleaning effect due to UV irradiation, which represents one of the most important result of this research work. Further experiments are still in progress to better assess the nanocomposite properties.

REFERENCES

- [1] C.Kapridakia, L.Pinob, M.J.Mosquerab, P.Maravelaki-Kalaitzakia, "Producing photoactive, transparent and hydrophobic SiO₂-crystalline TiO₂ nanocomposites at ambient conditions with application as self-cleaning coatings", *Appl. Catalys. B: Environ*, vol. 156–157, 2014, pp. 416–427.
- [2] M.Licchelli, M.Malagodi, M.Weththimuni, C.Zanchi, "Nanoparticles for conservation of biocalcarene stone", *Appl. Phys. A*, vol.114, No.3, 2014, pp.673–683.
- [3] E.Balliana, G. Ricci, C.Pesce, E. Zendri, "Assessing the value of green conservation for cultural heritage: positive and critical aspects of already available methodologies", *Int. J. Conserv. Sci*, vol.7, No.1, 2016, pp.185-202.
- [4] G.Alfano, G.Lustrato, C.Belli, E. Zanardini, F. Cappitelli, E. Mello, C. Sorlini, G. Ranalli,"The bioremoval of nitrate and sulfate alterations on artistic stonework: The case-study of Matera Cathedral after six years from the treatment", *Int. Biodeter. Biodegr*, vol.65, No.7, 2011, pp.1004–1011.
- [5] Q.H.Tang, D.Zhou, Y.L.Wang, G.F.Liu, "Laser cleaning of sulfide scale on compressor impeller blade", *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, vol.355, 2015, pp.334–340.
- [6] P. Baglioni, D.Berti, M.Bonini, E.Carretti, L.Dei, F.Fratini, R.Giorgi, "Micelle, microemulsions, and gels for the conservation of cultural heritage", *Adv. Colloid. Interface. Sci*, vol.205, 2014, pp.361–371.
- [7] J.A.L.Domingues, N.Bonelli, R.Giorgi, E.Fratini, F.Gorel, P.Baglioni, "Innovative Hydrogels Based on Semi-Interpenetrating p(HEMA)/PVP Networks for the Cleaning of Water-Sensitive Cultural Heritage Artifacts", *Langmuir*, vol.29, No.8, 2013, pp.2746–2755.
- [8] C. Kapridaki, A. Verganelaki, P. Dimitriadou, P. Maravelaki-Kalaitzaki, "Conservation of Monuments by a Three-Layered Compatible Treatment of TEOS-Nano-Calcium Oxalate Consolidant and TEOS-PDMS-TiO₂ Hydrophobic/Photoactive Hybrid Nanomaterials", *Materials*, vol 11, No. 5, 2018, pp.684 (23pages).
- [9] F. Gherardi, M. Roveri, S. Goidanich, L. Toniolo, "Photocatalytic Nanocomposites for the Protection of European Architectural Heritage, *Materials*, vol. 11, No. 1, 2018, pp.65 (page 15).
- [10] M.L.Weththimuni, M.Licchelli, M.Malagodi, N. Rovella, M.F.La Russa, "Consolidation of biocalcarene stone by treatment based on diammonium hydrogenphosphate and calcium hydroxide nanoparticles", *Measure.*, vol.127, 2018, pp.396-405.
- [11] M.F.LaRussa, N.Rovella, M.A.D.Buergo, C.M.Belfiore, A.Pezzino, G.M.Crisci, S.A.Ruffolo, "Nano-TiO₂coatings for cultural heritage protection: The role of the binder on hydrophobic and self-cleaning efficacy", *Prog. Org. Coat*, vol.91, 2016, pp.1–8.
- [12] P.Munafò, G.B.Goffredo, E.Quagliarini, "TiO₂-based nanocoatings for preserving architectural stone surfaces: An overview. *Constr. Build. Mater*, vol.84, 2015, pp.201–218.
- [13] A.W.Xu, Y.Gao, H.Q.Liu, "The preparation, characterization, and their photocatalytic activities of rare-earth-doped TiO₂nanoparticles", *J. Catal.* vol.207, 2002, pp.151–157.
- [14] M.S.Selim, M.A.Shenashen, A.Elmarakbi, N.A.Fatthallah, S.I.Hasegawa, S.A.El-Safty, "Synthesis ultrahydrophobic thermally stable inorganic-organic nanocomposites for self-cleaning foul release coatings", *Chem. Eng. J*, vol.320, 2017, pp.653-666.
- [15] A.K.Singh, U.T.Nakate, "Microwave synthesis, characterization, and photoluminescence properties of nanocrystalline zirconia", *Sci. World J*, vol. 2014, 7 pages.
- [16] UNI EN 15801:2010, Conservazione dei beni culturali, Metodi di prova, Determinazione dell'assorbimento dell'acqua per capillarità, 2010.
- [17] UNI EN 15803:2010, Conservazione dei beni culturali, Metodi di prova, Determinazione della permeabilità al vapore d'acqua, 2010.
- [18] UNI EN 15886:2010, Conservazione dei Beni Culturali, Metodi di prova, Misura del colore delle superfici, UNI Ente Italiano di Normazione, 2010.