

IMEKO 2010 TC3 Conference  
Metrology in Modern Context  
November 22-25, 2010, Pattaya, Chonburi, Thailand

## IMPROVEMENT OF MEASUREMENT CAPABILITIES OF MULTIPLE MASS STANDARDS OF 1 kg AT NPLI

*Goutam Mandal*<sup>1</sup> and *C. K. Gopan*<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mass Standards, National Physical Laboratory, New Delhi, India, e-mail: [goutam@nplindia.ernet.in](mailto:goutam@nplindia.ernet.in)

<sup>2</sup> Mass Standards, Fluid Control Research Institute, Palakkad, Kerala, India, e-mail: [ckgopan@yahoo.com](mailto:ckgopan@yahoo.com)

**Abstract** - The National Physical Laboratory, India (NPLI) is the custodian of National Prototype of the Kilogram (NPK) No. 57, which was provided by the International Bureau of Weights and Measures (BIPM), Paris, France in 1958 after its first calibration in 1955. The NPK has been recalibrated three times (1985, 1992 & 2002) so far at the BIPM. All NPL working standards (Multiples & sub-multiples of 1 kg) range from 1 mg to 20 kg are re-established using new value of NPK through four Transfer Standards of 1 kg (two made of Stainless steel and two made of Nickel-Chromium alloy) according to defined periodicity.

The calibration of multiples of 1 kg is carried out using a fully automatic mass comparator with maximum capacity of 64 kg and resolution of 0,1 mg. Using this mass comparator, we have improved our calibration and measurement capabilities (CMCs) from 0,6 mg to 0,2 mg ( $k=2$ ) in 2 kg, from 1,5 mg to 0,3 mg ( $k=2$ ) in 5 kg, from 3 mg to 0,5 mg ( $k=2$ ) in 10 kg and from 6 mg to 1,0 mg ( $k=2$ ) in 20 kg. The details of measurement technique and results of multiples of 1 kg are discussed in this paper.

**Keywords** : Subdivision & substitution method

### 1. INTRODUCTION

As per OIML R-111 [1], the multiples and sub-multiples of 1 kg are in the range of 1 mg to 5000 kg in the prominent sequence of  $(1, 2, 5) \times 10^n$  where “ $n$ ” represents a positive or negative whole number or zero.

We calibrate 1 mg to 10 kg weights using subdivision method and use one-to-one comparison (substitution) method for the calibration of weights of nominal mass higher than 10 kg.

However, in this paper we have discussed about multiples of 1 kg only range from 2 kg to 20 kg.

#### 1.1. Weighing instrument used

Computer operated electronic mass comparator Model No. AX64004 with weight alternator (4 positions) from Mettler Toledo, Switzerland (Fig. 1) is used for measurement. This mass comparator was included in mass standards in 2008. The typical specifications of this mass comparators are as follows :

Readability	: 0,1 mg
Repeatability	: 0,2 mg (typical) & 0,4 mg (max.)
Maximum capacity	: 64260 g
Elect. weighing range	: (0 to 260) g
Sensitivity drift	: $\pm 1,5$ ppm/ $^{\circ}$ C



Fig. 1. 50 kg Mass Comparator

#### 1.2. Monitoring of environmental conditions

All the measurements up to 50 kg are carried out under controlled environmental conditions such as temperature ( $23 \pm 1,5$ )  $^{\circ}$ C and relative humidity ( $50 \pm 10$ ) % using micro-processor based centralized air-conditions system. Temperature, relative humidity and pressure are automatically recorded in computer during the measurements as per pre-decided time interval. The typical specifications of the support equipments are given in Table 1.



Fig. 2. Set-up for monitoring environmental conditions

Table 1. Typical specifications of the support equipments

Parameter	Range	Resolution
Temperature ( $^{\circ}$ C)	-40 to 60	0,01
Relative Humidity (%)	0 to 100	0,1
Pressure (mbar)	35 to 1310	0,01

2. SUBDIVISION METHOD

2.1. Calibration of multiples of 1 kg

In this case test weights are multiple of 1 kg i.e. 10 kg, 5 kg, 2 kg, °2 kg, & 1 kg and °1 kg (reference weight) [° is used only for identification between two 2 kg and two 1 kg weights]. A well known weighing design is used [1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8]. The mass value of 10 kg is determined using mass value of °1 kg and then using the mass value of 10 kg; mass values of 5 kg, 2 kg, °2 kg are determined. 1 kg is used as check standard.

2.2. Set of weights 10 kg to 1 kg

Box no. : 224(2)                      Make : Hafner, Germany  
 Material : Stainless steel          Density : (8042 ± 5) kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Design : Integral knob cylindrical

2.3 Weighing design

(5) + (2) + (°2) + (1)	- (10) = a <sub>1</sub>	= + 3,99 mg
(5) + (2) + (°2)	+ (°1) - (10) = a <sub>2</sub>	= + 4,08 mg
(5) - (2) - (°2) - (1)		= a <sub>3</sub> = + 0,40 mg
(5) - (2) - (°2)	- (°1)	= a <sub>4</sub> = + 0,20 mg
(2) - (°2) + (1) - (°1)		= a <sub>5</sub> = - 0,44 mg
(2) - (°2) + (1) - (°1)		= a <sub>6</sub> = - 0,51 mg
(2) - (°2) - (1) + (°1)		= a <sub>7</sub> = - 0,26 mg
(2) - (°2) - (1) + (°1)		= a <sub>8</sub> = - 0,21 mg
(2)	- (1) - (°1)	= a <sub>9</sub> = + 0,09 mg
(2)	- (1) - (°1)	= a <sub>10</sub> = + 0,03 mg
(°2) - (1) - (°1)		= a <sub>11</sub> = + 0,41 mg
(°2) - (1) - (°1)		= a <sub>12</sub> = + 0,49 mg

Where, a<sub>i</sub> is observed mass difference including air buoyancy correction.

A <sub>1</sub> = a <sub>1</sub> + a <sub>2</sub> + a <sub>3</sub> + a <sub>4</sub>	= +8,67 mg
A <sub>2</sub> = a <sub>1</sub> + a <sub>2</sub> - a <sub>3</sub> - a <sub>4</sub> + a <sub>5</sub> + a <sub>6</sub> + a <sub>7</sub> + a <sub>8</sub> + a <sub>9</sub> + a <sub>10</sub>	= +6,17 mg
A <sub>3</sub> = a <sub>1</sub> + a <sub>2</sub> - a <sub>3</sub> - a <sub>4</sub> - a <sub>5</sub> - a <sub>6</sub> - a <sub>7</sub> - a <sub>8</sub> + a <sub>11</sub> + a <sub>12</sub>	= +9,79 mg
A <sub>4</sub> = a <sub>1</sub> - a <sub>3</sub> + a <sub>5</sub> + a <sub>6</sub> - a <sub>7</sub> - a <sub>8</sub> - a <sub>9</sub> - a <sub>10</sub> - a <sub>11</sub> - a <sub>12</sub>	= +2,09 mg
A <sub>5</sub> = a <sub>2</sub> - a <sub>4</sub> - a <sub>5</sub> - a <sub>6</sub> + a <sub>7</sub> + a <sub>8</sub> - a <sub>9</sub> - a <sub>10</sub> - a <sub>11</sub> - a <sub>12</sub>	= +3,34 mg

2.4. Solution

Mass value of °1 kg = (999,998 926 ± 0,000 030) g; k=2

10 kg = 10 × {°1 kg} - A <sub>5</sub>	= 9 999,985 92 g
5 kg = ½ × {10 kg} + ¼ × A <sub>1</sub>	= 4 999,995 13 g
2 kg = ⅓ × {10 kg} + ⅒ × A <sub>2</sub>	= 1 999,997 80 g
°2 kg = ⅓ × {10 kg} + ⅒ × A <sub>3</sub>	= 1 999,998 16 g
1 kg = ⅒ × {10 kg} + ⅒ × A <sub>4</sub>	= 999,998 80 g

2.5. Calculations of Uncertainty

Pooled standard deviation is calculated using equation (1).

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(n-p)} \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - \tilde{a}_i)^2} = 0,04 \text{ mg} \quad (1)$$

Where,  $\tilde{a}_i$  is the value of  $a_i$  obtained by substituting estimated values of the five unknowns in weighing design.

( $a_i - \tilde{a}_i$ ) are called residual errors.  $n$  and  $p$  are the no. of observations and no. of unknowns respectively.

2.5.1. Uncertainty in 10 kg

i. Standard uncertainty due to weighing process,  $u_w$  (Type A)

Standard uncertainty due to weighing process is calculated using (2).

$$u_{w10} = \sqrt{10} \times s \quad (2)$$

ii. Standard uncertainty due to reference weight,  $u_r$  (Type B)

Standard uncertainty due to reference weight is calculated using (3).

$$u_r = \left[ \sqrt{\left(\frac{U_1}{k}\right)^2 + u_{drift}^2} \right] \cdot h_j \quad (3)$$

Where,  $h_j = m_j / m_r$ , the ratio between the nominal value of unknown weight and the reference.  $U_1$  and  $u_{drift}$  are expanded uncertainty and uncertainty due to drift (0,027 mg in last two years) of the mass of the reference weight of 1 kg.  $k$  is the coverage factor.

iii. Standard uncertainty due to air buoyancy correction,  $u_b$  (Type B)

Standard uncertainty due to air buoyancy correction is calculated using (4).

$$u_b^2 = (V_j - h_j \cdot V_r)^2 \cdot u_{\rho_a}^2 + (u_{V_j}^2 - h_j \cdot u_{V_r}^2) \cdot \rho_a^2 \quad (4)$$

where,

- $V_j$  &  $V_r$  : Volumes of test and reference weights
- $u_{V_j}$  &  $u_{V_r}$  : Standard uncertainties in volumes of test and reference weights
- $\rho_a$  : Density of air during measurement
- $u_{\rho_a}$  : Standard uncertainty in density of air

Since all the weights are made of stainless steel and of same manufacturer, it is assumed that densities of all the weights are same. Therefore, air buoyancy correction is assumed to be zero for all the cases.

iv. Uncertainty due to display resolution of the balance,  $u_d$  (Type B)

Standard uncertainty due to display resolution is calculated using (5).

$$u_d = \left(\frac{d}{2\sqrt{3}}\right) \times \sqrt{2} = \frac{d}{\sqrt{6}} \quad (5)$$

v. Combined standard uncertainty,  $u_C$

Combined standard uncertainty is calculated using (6).

$$u_{C10} = \sqrt{u_{w10}^2 + u_r^2 + u_b^2 + u_d^2} \quad (6)$$

**vi. Expanded uncertainty, U**

Effective degree of freedom is calculated using Welch-Satterthwaite formula (7) assuming all type-B uncertainty components are conservative with infinite degrees of freedom.

$$v_{eff10} = (n - k) \times \frac{u_{C10}^4}{u_{w10}^4} \quad (7)$$

Table 2. Coverage factor, k, for different effective degrees of freedom,  $v_{eff}$

$v_{eff}$	1	2	3	4	5	6	8	10	20	$\infty$
k	13,97	4,53	3,31	2,87	2,65	2,52	2,37	2,28	2,13	2,00

Expanded uncertainty is calculated using (8).

$$U_{10} = u_{C10}.k \quad (8)$$

Coverage factor, k is taken from Table 2 according to the effective degree of freedom,  $v_{eff}$ .

**2.5.2. Uncertainties in 5 kg, 2 kg & 2 kg**

Calculations of uncertainties for 5 kg, 2 kg & 2 kg are same as 10 kg except standard uncertainty due to weighing process which is described as follows :

**i. Standard uncertainty due to weighing process,  $u_w$  (Type A)**

Standard uncertainties due to weighing process for 5 kg, 2 kg and 2 kg weights are calculated using (9) and (10).

$$u_{w5} = \frac{1}{2} \times \sigma \quad (9)$$

$$u_{w2} = u_{w02} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \times \sigma \quad (10)$$

**ii. Standard uncertainty due to reference weight,  $u_r$  (Type B)**

In this case 10 kg is taken as reference instead of 1 kg.

One example of uncertainty budget is given in Table 3 taking all the above components range from 2 kg to 10 kg.

Table 3. Uncertainty budget of range from 2 kg to 10 kg

Source	Type	Weights				
		1 kg	10 kg	5 kg	2 kg	2 kg
$u_w$ (mg)	A	-	0,13	0,02	0,01	0,01
$u_r$ (mg)	B	0,015	0,15	0,11	0,04	0,04
$u_{drift}$ (mg)		0,008	0,08	Included in 10 kg		
$u_b$ (mg)	B	-	0,0	0,0	0,0	0,0
$u_d$ (mg)	B	-	0,04	0,04	0,04	0,04
$u_C$ (mg)		-	0,22	0,12	0,06	0,06
$v_{eff}$		-	57	9072	9072	9072
k		-	2	2	2	2
U (mg)		0,030	0,44	0,24	0,12	0,12

**2.5.3. Box No. 224(3)**

This box contains same set of weights as 224(2).

Table 3. Observed mass differences including air buoyancy correction

$a_i$	Observed values (mg)	$a_i$	Observed values (mg)	$A_i$	Observed values (mg)
$a_1$	+ 2,94	$a_7$	- 0,26	$A_1$	+ 7,66
$a_2$	+ 2,94	$a_8$	- 0,21	$A_2$	+ 2,80
$a_3$	+ 0,99	$a_9$	+ 0,09	$A_3$	+ 6,42
$a_4$	+ 0,79	$a_{10}$	+ 0,03	$A_4$	+ 0,45
$a_5$	- 0,44	$a_{11}$	+ 0,41	$A_5$	+ 1,61
$a_6$	- 0,51	$a_{12}$	+ 0,49		

**2.5.5. Final results**

10 kg : (9 999,987 92 ± 0,000 48) g; k = 2

5 kg : (4 999,995 88 ± 0,000 26) g; k = 2

2 kg : (1 999,997 86 ± 0,000 14) g; k = 2

2 kg : (1 999,998 23 ± 0,000 14) g; k = 2

**3. SUBSTITUTION METHOD**

**3.1. 20 kg mass standards**

We have nine 20 kg weights and for measurement of these weights, one-to-one comparison (substitution) method is followed using two 10 kg weights taken from Box No. 224(2) and 224(3) as reference. Mass values of 20 kg are calculated using (11).

$$m_{t_i} = m_{r_1} + m_{r_2} + [V_{t_i} - (V_{r_1} + V_{r_2})] \cdot \rho_a + \overline{\Delta m}_i \quad (11)$$

where,

$m_{t_i}$  &  $V_{t_i}$  : Mass and volume of the test weight

$m_{r_1}$  &  $V_{r_1}$  : Mass and volume of the reference weight-1

$m_{r_2}$  &  $V_{r_2}$  : Mass and volume of the reference weight -2

$\rho_a$  : Density of air during measurement

$\overline{\Delta m}_i$  : Difference of balance indication between reference & test weight.

Mass values of two 10 kg reference weights are  $m_{r_1} = 9999,98592$  g and  $m_{r_2} = 9999,98792$  g. So, mass value of reference weight for 20 kg is  $m_r = m_{r_1} + m_{r_2} = 19999,97384$  g.

Table 4. Calculations mass values of 20 kg

Sl. No.	$m_r$ (g)	$\overline{\Delta m}_i$ (mg)	$m_{t_i}$ (g)
1	19 999,973 84	5,22	19 999,979 06
2	19 999,973 84	6,56	19 999,980 40
3	19 999,973 84	0,79	19 999,974 63
4	19 999,973 84	5,36	19 999,979 20
5	19 999,973 84	5,00	19 999,978 84
6	19 999,973 84	7,65	19 999,981 49
7	19 999,973 84	2,73	19 999,976 57
8	19 999,973 84	5,60	19 999,979 44
9	19 999,973 84	-12,46	19 999,961 38

**3.2. Uncertainty in 20 kg**

All the uncertainty components of 20 kg test weights are same as previous calculations except standard uncertainty due to weighing process which is described as follows :

**Standard uncertainty due to weighing process,  $u_w$  (Type A)**

Standard uncertainty due to weighing process is calculated using (12).

$$u_{w20} = \frac{s}{\sqrt{j(n-1)}} \tag{12}$$

Where,  $s$  is standard deviation,  $j$  is no. of series and  $n$  is no. of cycle of measurements in each series.

Two series of ten cycles of measurements were taken for each test weight. Uncertainty budget is given in Table 5.

Table 5. Uncertainty budget of 20 kg

Identification No.	Uncertainty (mg)						
	$u_w$	$u_r$	$u_{drift}$	$u_b$	$u_d$	$u_c$	U
1	0,19	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,52	1,0
2	0,19	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,52	1,0
3	0,13	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,50	1,0
4	0,21	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,53	1,0
5	0,17	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,51	1,0
6	0,16	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,51	1,0
7	0,09	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,49	1,0
8	0,20	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,52	1,0
9	0,18	0,46	0,14	0,0	0,04	0,51	1,0

**4. CONVENTIONAL MASS VALUE**

**4.1. Calculation of conventional mass value**

The conventional mass values are calculated using (13) [2].

$$M_c = M_t \times [1 - I_2 \times \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} - \frac{1}{8000} \right]] \tag{13}$$

where,  $M_c$  and  $M_t$  are conventional and true mass values respectively and  $\rho$  is density of material of weight.

**4.2. Calculation of uncertainty of conventional mass value**

All the uncertainty components of conventional mass are same as true mass except standard uncertainty due to air buoyancy correction which is described in (15). Uncertainty of conventional mass of reference weight is taken from certificates.

**i. Standard uncertainty due to air buoyancy correction,  $u_b$  (Type B)**

Standard uncertainty due to air buoyancy correction is calculated using (14).

$$u_b^2 = (V_j - h_j \cdot V_r)^2 \cdot u_{\rho_a}^2 + (u_{V_j}^2 + h_j \cdot u_{V_r}^2) \cdot (\rho_a - \rho_o)^2 \tag{14}$$

Table 6. Final results range from 2 kg to 20 kg

Nominal Mass	Identification	True mass		Conventional mass	
		Value (g)	U (mg)	Value (g)	U (mg)
2 kg	224(2)	1 999,997 8	± 0,2	1 999,999 4	± 0,2
5 kg	224(2)	4 999,995 1	± 0,3	4 999,999 0	± 0,3
10 kg	224(2)	9 999,985 9	± 0,5	9 999,993 8	± 0,5
2 kg	224(3)	1 999,998 2	± 0,2	1 999,999 8	± 0,2
5 kg	224(3)	4 999,995 9	± 0,3	4 999,999 8	± 0,3
10 kg	224(3)	9 999,987 9	± 0,5	9 999,995 7	± 0,5
20 kg	No. 1	19 999,979 1	± 1,0	19 999,994 7	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 2	19 999,980 4	± 1,0	19 999,996 1	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 3	19 999,974 6	± 1,0	19 999,990 3	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 4	19 999,979 2	± 1,0	19 999,994 9	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 5	19 999,978 8	± 1,0	19 999,994 5	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 6	19 999,981 5	± 1,0	19 999,997 2	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 7	19 999,976 6	± 1,0	19 999,992 2	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 8	19 999,979 4	± 1,0	19 999,995 1	± 1,0
20 kg	No. 9	19 999,961 4	± 1,0	19 999,977 0	± 1,0

**5. CONCLUSIONS**

Using these methods and facilities available at NPLI, we have achieved CMCs upto 0,2 mg (k=2) in 2 kg, 0,3 mg (k=2) in 5 kg, 0,5 mg (k=2) in 10 kg and 1,0 mg (k=2) in 20 kg. With these CMCs, NPLI will be at par the other leading NMIs in the world.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

We have got continuous inspiration from the Director, NPL and Mr. Anil Kumar, Head, Mass Standards, to write this paper. Without their kind encouragement and support, this paper cannot be completed. We are very much thankful to them for the same.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] OIML International Recommendation No. R-111, Weights of Classes E<sub>1</sub>, E<sub>2</sub>, F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>1</sub>, M<sub>1-2</sub>, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>2-3</sub> and M<sub>3</sub> (OIML 2004).
- [2] OIML International Document No. D-28, "Conventional Value of the Result of Weighing in Air", (OIML 2004).
- [3] Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty (ISO, 1995).
- [4] G.D. Chapman, "Calibration of Kilogram Submultiples", NRCC 25819 (1987).
- [5] G. Mihalov and M. Romanowski, Calibrations of the Multiples of the Unit of Mass, *Metrologia*, 27 (1990), 17-18.
- [6] J.M. Cameron, M.C. Croarkin, and R.C. Raybold, "Designs for the Calibration of Standards of Mass", *NBS Technical Note 952* (1977).
- [7] Goutam Mandal and Tripurari Lal, "Calibration Technique of a Set of Weights Using One Reference Standard", *MĀPAN-JMSI*, 23 (2008), 55-58.
- [8] Tripurari Lal, Goutam Mandal and C. K. Gopan, "Re-establishment of National Standards of Mass at NPL India", *MĀPAN-JMSI*, 23 (2008), 139-158.